arXiv:1609.01400v3 [cs.DS] 18 Feb 2017

Succinct data-structure for nearest colored node in a tree

Dekel Tsur*

Abstract

We give a succinct data-structure that stores a tree with colors on the nodes. Given a node x and a color α, the structure finds the nearest node to x with color α. This results improves the $O(n \log n)$-bits structure of Gawrychowski et al. [CPM 2016].

1 Introduction

In the *nearest colored node* problem the goal is to store a tree with colors on the nodes such that given a node x and a color α, the nearest node to x with color α can be found efficiently. Gawrychowski et al. [12] gave a data-structure for this problem that uses $O(n \log n)$ bits and answers queries in $O(\log \log n)$ time, where n is the number of nodes in the tree. Additionally, they considered a dynamic version of the problem in which the colors of the nodes can be changed. For this problem they gave an $O(n \log n)$ bits structure that supports updates and queries in $O(\log n)$ time. They also gave a structure with $O(n \log^{2+\epsilon} n)$ space, optimal $O(\log n / \log \log n)$ query time, and $O(\log^{1+\epsilon} n)$ update time.

In this paper we give a succinct structure for the static problem. Our results are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let T be a colored tree with n nodes and colors from $[1, \sigma]$, and let P_T be a string containing the colors of the nodes in preorder.*

1. *For $\sigma = o(\log n/(\log \log n)^2)$, for any $k = o(\log n / \log^2 \sigma)$, there is a representation of T that uses $nH_k(P_T) + 2n + o(n)$ bits and answers nearest colored node queries in $O(1)$ time, where $H_k(P_T)$ is the k-th order entropy of P_T.*
2. *For $\sigma = w^{O(1)}$ (where w is the word size), for any function $f(n) = \omega(1)$, there is a representation of T that uses $nH_0(P_T) + 2n + o(n)$ bits and answers nearest colored node queries in $O(f(n))$ time.*
3. *For $\sigma \leq n$, there is a representation of T that uses $nH_0(P_T) + 2n + o(nH_0(P_T)) + o(n)$ bits and answers nearest colored node queries in $O(\log \frac{\log \sigma}{\log w})$ time.*

1.1 Related work

Several papers studied data-structures for storing colored trees with support for various queries [4, 6, 9, 13, 14, 19, 20]. In particular, the problem of finding the nearest ancestor with color α was considered in [6, 13, 14, 19, 20]. In order to solve the nearest colored node problem, we combine techniques from the papers above and from Gawrychowski et al. [12].

Another related problem is to find an approximate nearest node with color α. This problem has been studied in general graphs [7, 15, 16] and planar graphs [1, 17, 18].

*Department of Computer Science, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev. Email: dekelts@cs.bgu.ac.il

2 Preliminaries

A node with color α will be called *α-node*. We also use other α- terms with the appropriate meaning, e.g. an α-descendant of a node v is a descendant of v (including v) with color α.

2.1 Sampled RMQ

A *Range Minimum Query* (RMQ) structure on an array A is a structure that given indices i and j, returns an index k such that $A[k]$ is the minimum element in the subarray $A[i..j]$. Our data-structure uses sampled RMQ structure, described in the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let A be an array of n numbers. For every integer L, there is a data-structure that uses $O((n/L)\log L)$ bits and answers in constant time RMQ queries i, j in which $i-1$ and j are multiples of L.*

Proof. Partition A into blocks of size L, and let A' be an array of size $\lceil n/L \rceil$ in which $A[i]$ is the minimum element in the i-th block of A. The data-structure stores the RMQ structure of Fischer [11] on A' (note that this structure does not access A' in order to answer queries), and an array B in which $B[i]$ is the index of the minimum element in the i-th block of A, relative to the start of the block. The space for the RMQ structure is $2n/L + o(n/L)$ bits, and the space for B is $O((n/L)\log L)$ bits. Thus, the total space is $O((n/L)\log L)$ bits. ■

2.2 Tree decomposition

We use the following tree decomposition [2, 3, 8].

Lemma 3. *For a tree T with n nodes and an integer L, there is a collection $\mathcal{D}_{T,L}$ of subtrees of T with the following properties.*

1. *Every edge of T appears in exactly one tree of $\mathcal{D}_{T,L}$.*
2. *The size of every tree in $\mathcal{D}_{T,L}$ is at most L and at least 2.*
3. *The number of trees in $\mathcal{D}_{T,L}$ is $O(n/L)$.*
4. *For every $T' \in \mathcal{D}_{T,L}$, at most two nodes of T' can appear in other trees of $\mathcal{D}_{T,L}$. These nodes are called the* boundary nodes *of T'.*
5. *A boundary node of a tree $T' \in \mathcal{D}_{T,L}$ can be either a root of T' or a leaf of T'. In the latter case the node will be called the* boundary leaf *of T'.*

For a tree T and an integer L we define a tree T^L as follows. Construct a tree decomposition $\mathcal{D}_{T,L}$ according to Lemma 3. If the root r of T appears in several trees of $\mathcal{D}_{T,L}$, add to $\mathcal{D}_{T,L}$ a tree that consists of r. The tree T^L has a node v_S for every tree $S \in \mathcal{D}_{T,L}$. For two trees $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{T,L}$, v_{S_1} is the parent of v_{S_2} in T^L if and only if the root of S_2 is equal to the boundary leaf of S_1.

3 Structure for small alphabet

In this section we prove part 1 of Theorem 1. Our structure is similar to the labeled tree structure of He et al. [14]. As in [14], the data-structure stores P_T in the compressed structure of Ferragina and Venturini [10], the balanced parenthesis string of T (uncompressed), and additional information that takes $o(n)$ space. The space for storing P_T is

$nH_k(P_T) + o(n)$ bits, and the space for storing the balanced parenthesis string is $2n$ bits. Using $o(n)$ space, standard tree queries such as computing the depth of a node or computing the lowerst common ancestor of two nodes can be answered in $O(1)$ time (see [8] for details).

Using the tree decomposition of Lemma 3, the tree T is partitioned into *mini-trees* of size at most $L' = \log^{\Theta(1)} n$, and every mini-tree is decomposed into *micro-trees* of size at most $L = \Theta(\log_\sigma n)$. From Property 4 of the tree decomposition we have that if y is the nearest α-node to a node x and y is not in the same mini-tree of x, then the path from x to y passes through a boundary node of the mini-tree of x. Similar property holds for micro-trees. Based on the observation above, the data-structure stores the following additional information. (1) A lookup table that contains for every colored tree S of size at most L, every node x in S, and every color α, the α-node in S that is nearest to x (if such nodes exist). (2) For every mini-tree S and every color α, the α-nodes in S that are nearest to the root of S and to the boundary leaf of S. (3) For every micro-tree S and every color α, the α-nodes in the mini-tree of S that are nearest to the root of S and to the boundary leaf of S. The space for the lookup table is $O(2^{2L}\sigma^L L\sigma \log L) = o(n)$, the space for the mini-tree information is $O((n/L')\sigma \log n) = o(n)$, and the space for the micro-tree information is $O((n/L)\sigma \log L') = O(\sigma \log \sigma \cdot n \log\log n/\log n) = o(n)$.

Given a query x, α, we obtain up to five candidates for the nearest α-node to x: the α-node in the micro-tree of x that is nearest to x, and the four α-nodes stored for the micro-tree and mini-tree of x. Note that in order to use the lookup-table, we need to generate the balanced parenthesis string of the the micro-tree of x, and a sequence containing the colors of the nodes in this tree in preorder. This can be done in $O(1)$ time due to property 5 of Lemma 3 (this property implies that for a tree $T' \in \mathcal{D}_{T,L}$ there are two intervals I_1 and I_2 such that a node $x \in T$ is a non-root node of T' if and only if the preorder rank of x is in $I_1 \cup I_2$). The distance between x and every candidate y can be computed in $O(1)$ time (the distance is $\text{depth}(x) + \text{depth}(y) - 2 \cdot \text{depth}(\text{lca}(x, y))$). Therefore, the query is answered in $O(1)$ time.

4 Structure for large alphabet

Our data-structure for large alphabet stores the rank-select structure of Belazzougui and Navarro [5] on P_T, a succinct tree structure for the tree T without the colors, and additional information that will be described below. The space of the rank-select structure is $nH_0(P_T) + o(n)$ for $\sigma = w^{O(1)}$, and $nH_0(P_T) + 2n + o(nH_0(P_T)) + o(n)$ for general σ. The space for storing T is $2n + o(n)$.

Our structure is similar to the structure of Gawrychowski et al. [12]. We next give a short description of the structure of [12]. For a color α, let Z_α be the set of all α-nodes and their ancestors, and let Y_α be the set of all nodes $x \in Z_\alpha$ such that either x has color α, or x has at least two children in Z_α. We define a tree T_α whose nodes are Y_α, and x is the parent of y in T_α if and only if x is the lowest proper ancestor of y that is in Y_α.

Let x, α be a query. If x is an α-node, the answer to the query is trivial, so assume for the rest of the section that x is not an α-node. We define nodes in the tree that will be used for answering the query: z is the lowest ancestor of x which has an α-descendant that is not a descendant of x. Moreover, y (resp., y_2) is the lowest descendant (resp., ancestor) of z which is in Y_α. The nearest α-node to x is either (1) the nearest α-descendant of x, (2) the nearest α-node to y, or (3) the nearest α-node to y_2. Based on this observation, the structure of Gawrychowski et al. [12] finds these three candidate nodes, and returns the one that is closest to x. Our structure is based on a slightly different observation: The nearest

α-node to x is either (1) the nearest α-descendant of x, (2) the nearest α-descendant of y, or (3) the nearest α-non-descendant of y.

We next describe the approach we use for finding the node y, which is different than the one used in [12]. For a node v, let v_{pred} (resp., v_{succ}) be the last (resp., first) α-node in preorder that appears before (resp., after) v in the preorder. The following lemma shows how to efficiently find z.

Lemma 4. *Let x_* be the node from $\{x_{\text{pred}}, x_{\text{succ}}\}$ that maximizes the depth of $\text{lca}(x_*, x)$ (if x_{pred} does not exist then $x_* = x_{\text{succ}}$ and vice versa). Then, $z = \text{lca}(x_*, x)$.*

Proof. We will prove the lemma for the case in which x_{pred} and x_{succ} exist and x_{pred} is not an ancestor of x_{succ}. The proofs for the other cases are similar and thus omitted.

Let $x' = \text{lca}(x_{\text{pred}}, x_{\text{succ}})$. Let P_{pred} and P_{succ} be the paths from x' to x_{pred} and x_{succ}, respectively. The nodes that appear between x_{pred} and x_{succ} in preorder can be categorized into five sets:

1. All proper descendants of x_{pred}.
2. All proper descendants of nodes on the path P_{pred} that are "to the right" of this path. Formally, this set contains every node v which is a descendant of a node w on P_{pred} (excluding the endpoints) such that the child of w which is on the path from w to v is to the right of the child of w which is on the path P_{pred}.
3. All proper descendants of x' that are "between the paths" P_{pred} and P_{succ}.
4. All proper descendants of nodes on the path P_{succ} (excluding the endpoints) that are "to the left" of this path.
5. All nodes on the path P_{succ} (excluding the endpoints).

Since x_{pred} and x_{succ} are consecutive α-nodes in the preorder of the nodes, the nodes in the sets above are not α-nodes. Moreover, the nodes in sets 1–4 that are not descendants of x are not in Z_α. Suppose x is in set 2. In this case, $\text{lca}(x_{\text{pred}}, x)$ is on P_{pred} while $\text{lca}(x_{\text{succ}}, x) = x'$. Therefore, $x_* = x_{\text{pred}}$. Since $\text{lca}(x_{\text{pred}}, x) \in Z_\alpha$ (as $\text{lca}(x_{\text{pred}}, x)$ is an ancestor of x_{pred}) and every ancestor x' of x that is below $\text{lca}(x_{\text{pred}}, x)$ does not have an α-descendant that is not a descendant of x, it follows that $\text{lca}(x_{\text{pred}}, x) = z$. Thus, the lemma follows in this case. The proofs for the other cases are similar. ■

Finding x_{pred} and x_{succ} can be done using rank and select queries on P_T. The next lemma shows how to find y.

Lemma 5. *Let v be a node in Z_α, and let w be the highest descendant of v that is in Y_α. Then, $w = \text{lca}(v_{\text{succ}}, \text{rleaf}(v)_{\text{pred}})$, where $\text{rleaf}(v)$ is the rightmost descendant leaf of v.*

Proof. Suppose first that w does not have color α. Since $w \in Y_\alpha$, w has at least two children that are in Z_α. Let w', w'' be the first and last children of w that are in Z_α, respectively. Every α-descendant of v is also a descendant of w. It follows that v_{succ} is a descendant of w' and $\text{rleaf}(v)_{\text{pred}}$ is a descendant of w''. Thus, $\text{lca}(v_{\text{succ}}, \text{rleaf}(v)_{\text{pred}}) = \text{lca}(w', w'') = w$.

If w has color α then $v_{\text{succ}} = w$ and $\text{rleaf}(v)_{\text{pred}}$ is a descendant of w. Therefore, $\text{lca}(v_{\text{succ}}, \text{rleaf}(v)_{\text{pred}}) = w$. ■

We now show how to find the nearest α-non-descendant of y. We use the approach of [20]. For the case $\sigma = w^{O(1)}$ let $L = f(n)$ where f is a function that satisfies $f(n) = \omega(1)$ and $f(n) = O(\log n)$, and for larger σ let $L = \sqrt{\log \frac{\log \sigma}{\log w}}$. We say that a color α is *frequent* if the number of α-nodes is at least L. Given a query x, α, finding whether α is frequent can be done by performing a rank query on P_T. If α is non-frequent, the query can be answered by enumerating all α-nodes (by computing pre_select(select$_\alpha(P_T, k)$) for all k, where select$_\alpha(P_T, k)$ is the k-th occurrence of α in P_T and pre_select(i) is the i-th node of T in preorder) and computing the distance between x and each enumerated node. The time complexity is $O(L \cdot t_{\text{select}})$, where t_{select} is the time of a select query on P_T. Since $t_{\text{select}} = O(1)$ for small alphabet and $t_{\text{select}} = o(\sqrt{\log \frac{\log \sigma}{\log w}})$ for large alphabet, it follows that the time for answering a query is any $\omega(1)$ for small alphabet and $O(\log \frac{\log \sigma}{\log w})$ for large alphabet. For the rest of the section, we describe how to handle queries in which the color is frequent.

We apply the tree decomposition of Section 2.2 on T_α with parameter L and obtain the tree T_α^L. For a node v_S in T_α^L (recall that S is a subtree of T_α) let $V(v_S)$ be the set of the nodes of S excluding the root, and $V_\alpha(v_S)$ be the set of α-nodes in $V(v_S)$. For a node $u \in Y_\alpha$, we denote by map(u) the node of T_α^L for which $u \in V(\text{map}(u))$. Due to the properties of the tree decomposition we have that for two nodes $u, v \in Y_\alpha$, lca(u, v) is a node in the tree S in the decomposition for which $v_S = \text{lca}(\text{map}(u), \text{map}(v))$ (if lca(u, v) is not the root of S then map$(\text{lca}(u, v)) = v_S$ and otherwise map$(\text{lca}(u, v)) = \text{parent}(v_S)$).

We assign weights to each node v_S of T_α^L as follows.

- $w_1(v_S)$ is the distance between the boundary nodes of S.
- $w_2(v_S)$ (resp., $w_3(v_S)$) is the shortest distance between the root (resp., boundary leaf) of S and a node in $V_\alpha(v_S)$. If $V_\alpha(v_S) = \emptyset$ then $w_2(v_S) = w_3(v_S) = \infty$.

Let v and v' be two nodes of T_α^L, and let P be the path from v to v'. The *weighted distance from v to v'* is the sum of the following values.

1. $w_1(u)$ for every node $u \neq v, v'$ which is on P and the parent of u is also on P.
2. $w_2(v')$ if v' is not an ancestor of v.
3. $w_3(v')$ if v' is an ancestor of v.

The descendant (resp., non-descendant) of v with minimum weighted distance of v (with ties broken arbitrarily) will be denoted wnearest$_{\text{d}}(v)$ (resp., wnearest$_{\text{nd}}(v)$).

Our approach for finding the nearest α-non-descendant of y is based on the following observation.

Observation 6. *Let $v_S \neq v_{S'}$ be two nodes of T_α^L, and u be a node in S. The shortest distance between u and a node in $V_\alpha(v_{S'})$ is equal to the weighted distance from v_S to $v_{S'}$ plus the distance between u and the boundary leaf of S if $v_{S'}$ is a descendant of v_S, and the distance between u and the root of S otherwise.*

Corollary 7. *Let v_S be a node of T_α^L. Let u be a node in S and u' be the nearest α-non-descendant of u. If u is not the root of S then $u' \in V_\alpha(v_S) \cup V_\alpha(\text{wnearest}_{\text{nd}}(v_S)) \cup V_\alpha(\text{wnearest}_{\text{d}}(v_S))$ and otherwise $u' \in V_\alpha(\text{parent}(v_S)) \cup V_\alpha(\text{wnearest}_{\text{nd}}(\text{parent}(v_S)))$.*

Based on Corollary 7, the algorithm for finding the nearest α-non-descendant of y is as follows.

1. Find z using Lemma 4.
2. Compute $y = \mathrm{lca}(z_{\mathrm{succ}}, \mathrm{rleaf}(z)_{\mathrm{pred}})$.
3. Compute $y' = \mathrm{lca}(\mathrm{map}(z_{\mathrm{succ}}), \mathrm{map}(\mathrm{rleaf}(z)_{\mathrm{pred}}))$ and $y'' = \mathrm{parent}(y')$.
4. Enumerate all nodes in $V_\alpha(y') \cup V_\alpha(\mathrm{wnearest}_{\mathrm{nd}}(y')) \cup V_\alpha(\mathrm{wnearest}_{\mathrm{d}}(y')) \cup V_\alpha(y'') \cup V_\alpha(\mathrm{wnearest}_{\mathrm{nd}}(y''))$ that are not descendants of y, compute their distances to y, and return the node that is nearest to y.

In order to perform steps 3 and 4 efficiently, we store T' using the weighted tree structure of [20]. This structure supports computing $\mathrm{map}(u)$ for an α-node u in $O(1)$ time, and additionally, it supports computing the preorder ranges of the nodes in $V_\alpha(v_S)$ for a node v_S of T^L_α in $O(1)$ time.

The data-structures for computing $\mathrm{wnearest}_{\mathrm{d}}(v_S)$ and $\mathrm{wnearest}_{\mathrm{nd}}(v_S)$ for some node v_S of T^L_α is as follows. We apply the tree decomposition of Section 2.2. Every tree T^L_α is partitioned into *mini-trees* of size at most $L_1 = \log^{\Theta(1)} n$, and every mini-tree is decomposed into *micro-trees* of size at most $L_2 = \Theta(\log n)$. The trees T^L_α are merged into a single tree T' by connecting their roots to a new node. We now store the following.

- A lookup table that contains for every tree S of size at most L with weights w_1, w_2, w_3 on its nodes and every node u in S, the node u_2 in S whose weighted distance from u is minimum.
- The balanced parenthesis sequence of T'.
- For $i = 1, \ldots, 3$, a strings W_i that contains the w_i weights of the nodes of T' according to preorder.
- For every mini-tree S and every color α, the nodes in T^L_α with minimum weighted distances to the root of S and to the boundary leaf of S.
- For every micro-tree S and every color α, the nodes in the mini-tree of S with minimum weighted distances to the root of S and to the boundary leaf of S.

Using this information, $\mathrm{wnearest}_{\mathrm{d}}(v_S)$ and $\mathrm{wnearest}_{\mathrm{nd}}(v_S)$ can be found in $O(1)$ time. Therefore, the nearest α-non-descendant of y can be found in time $\omega(1)$ for small alphabet and $O(\log \frac{\log \sigma}{\log w})$ for large alphabet.

Finally, we describe how to find the nearest α-descendant of a node v. For every frequent color α, let A_α be an array containing the depths of the α-nodes in preorder. We build a sampled RMQ structure (Lemma 2) on A_α with sampling parameter L. To find the nearest α-descendant of a node v, find the range $[i, j]$ of preorder ranks of the α-descendants of v using rank queries on P_T and tree queries on T. Let i' be the minimum integer such that $i' \geq i$ and $i' - 1$ is a multiple of L, and let j' be the maximum integer such that $j' \leq j$ and j' is a multiple of L. Assuming $i' < j'$ (the case $i' > j'$ is simpler and we omit the details), enumerate the α-nodes with preorder ranks in $[i, i' - 1] \cup [j' + 1, j] \cup \{\mathrm{RMQ}(A_\alpha, i', j')\}$, compute the distances between these node and v, and return the node with smallest distance.

References

[1] I. Abraham, S. Chechik, R. Krauthgamer, and U. Wieder. Approximate nearest neighbor search in metrics of planar graphs. In *Proc. 18th APPROX/RANDOM*, volume 40, 2015.

[2] S. Alstrup, J. Holm, K. de Lichtenberg, and M. Thorup. Minimizing diameters of dynamic trees. In *Proc. 24th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP)*, pages 270–280, 1997.

[3] S. Alstrup, Jens J. P. Secher, and M. Spork. Optimal on-line decremental connectivity in trees. *Information Processing Letters*, 64(4):161–164, 1997.

[4] J. Barbay, A. Golynski, J. I. Munro, and S. S. Rao. Adaptive searching in succinctly encoded binary relations and tree-structured documents. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 387(3):284–297, 2007.

[5] D. Belazzougui and G. Navarro. New lower and upper bounds for representing sequences. In *Proc. 20th European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA)*, pages 181–192, 2012.

[6] P. Bille, P. H. Cording, and I. L. Gørtz. Compressed subsequence matching and packed tree coloring. In *Proc. 25th Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching (CPM)*, pages 40–49, 2014.

[7] S. Chechik. Improved distance oracles and spanners for vertex-labeled graphs. In *Proc. 20th European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA)*, pages 325–336, 2012.

[8] A. Farzan and J. I. Munro. A uniform approach towards succinct representation of trees. In *Proc. 11th Scandinavian Workshop on Algorithm Theory (SWAT)*, pages 173–184, 2008.

[9] P. Ferragina, F. Luccio, G. Manzini, and S. Muthukrishnan. Compressing and indexing labeled trees, with applications. *J. of the ACM*, 57(1), 2009.

[10] P. Ferragina and R. Venturini. A simple storage scheme for strings achieving entropy bounds. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 372(1):115–121, 2007.

[11] J. Fischer. Optimal succinctness for range minimum queries. In *Latin American Symposium on Theoretical Informatics*, pages 158–169, 2010.

[12] P. Gawrychowski, G. M. Landau, S. Mozes, and O. Weimann. The nearest colored node in a tree. In *Proc. 27th Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching (CPM)*, pages 25:1–25:12, 2016.

[13] R. F. Geary, R. Raman, and V. Raman. Succinct ordinal trees with level-ancestor queries. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms*, 2(4):510–534, 2006.

[14] M. He, J. I. Munro, and G. Zhou. A framework for succinct labeled ordinal trees over large alphabets. *Algorithmica*, 70(4):696–717, 2014.

[15] D. Hermelin, A. Levy, O. Weimann, and R. Yuster. Distance oracles for vertex-labeled graphs. In *Proc. 38th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP)*, pages 490–501, 2011.

[16] J. Łacki, J. Oćwieja, M. Pilipczuk, P. Sankowski, and A. Zych. The power of dynamic distance oracles: Efficient dynamic algorithms for the steiner tree. In *Proc. 47th Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 11–20, 2015.

[17] M. Li, C. C. C. Ma, and L. Ning. $(1+\varepsilon)$-distance oracles for vertex-labeled planar graphs. In *Proc. 10th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Models of Computation (TAMC)*, pages 42–51, 2013.

[18] S. Mozes and E. E. Skop. Efficient vertex-label distance oracles for planar graphs. In *Proc. 13th International Workshop on Approximation and Online Algorithms (WAOA)*, pages 97–109, 2015.

[19] S. Muthukrishnan and M. Müller. Time and space efficient method-lookup for object-oriented programs. In *Proc. 7th Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, volume 96, pages 42–51, 1996.

[20] D. Tsur. Succinct representation of labeled trees. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 562:320–329, 2015.